

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/284,863

Applicant(s)

STENGAARD ET AL.

Examiner

Sam Chuan C. Yao

Art Unit

1733

All Participants:(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.(2) Mr. Ben Schroeder.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 31 March 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

66

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed Counsel that, weight percent of a hydrophobic finish in a fiber is missing in claim 66. This limitation is needed to rejoin claim 66 and its dependent claims; and to place the application in condition for allowance. Counsel agreed to amend claim 66 (see a supplemental amendment)..